

0

FILED - SOUTHERN DIVISION  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DEC - 3 2012

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
BY *[Signature]* DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, } Case No.: SACR12-260  
12 Plaintiff, }  
13 vs. } ORDER OF DETENTION  
14 John Doe aka }  
15 Nieto-Carachure, Fernando }  
16 Defendant. }

I.

18 A. ( ) On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:

19 1. ( ) a crime of violence.

20 2. ( ) an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.

21 3. ( ) a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence

22 of ten or more years.

23 4. ( ) any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior offenses

24 described above.

25 5. ( ) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a

26 minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device

27 or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18

28 U.S.C. § 2250.

1 B.  On motion by the Government/( ) on Court's own motion, in a case  
2 allegedly involving:  
3 ( ) On the further allegation by the Government of:  
4 1.  a serious risk that the defendant will flee.  
5 2. ( ) a serious risk that the defendant will:  
6 a. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.  
7 b. ( ) threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or  
8 juror, or attempt to do so.  
9 C. The Government ( ) is/ is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no  
10 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's  
11 appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.  
12

## II.

14 A.  The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will  
15 reasonably assure:  
16 1.  the appearance of the defendant as required.  
17 ( ) and/or  
18 2. ( ) the safety of any person or the community.  
19 B. ( ) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to  
20 the contrary the presumption provided by statute.  
21

## III.

23 The Court has considered:

24 A.  the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether  
25 the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves  
26 a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive  
27 device;  
28 B.  the weight of evidence against the defendant;

1 C. (X) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and  
2 D. (X) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.

3  
4 IV.

5 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the  
6 arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services  
7 Report/recommendation.

8  
9 V.

10 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

11 A. (X) As to flight risk:

12 Background, country, etc unknown due to  
13 failure to interview.

14 suspected illegal immigration status.

15 lack of bail resources

16  
17  
18  
19  
20 B. ( ) As to danger:

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 VI.  
2

3 A. ( ) The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:  
4       1. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.  
5       2. ( ) attempt to/ ( ) threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.  
6


7 B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:  
8

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

VII.

A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.  
B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.  
C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.  
D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: 12/3/12

  
ROBERT N. BLOCK  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE